Checklist for Candidates Promotion and Tenure Review Procedure and Statements

1. (Curriculum Vitae
	Education and professional positions
	Brief summary of research/scholarship interests
	List of graduate courses taught (with semesters), study abroad courses, graduate/postdoctoral fellow
sup	pervised, graduate committees served on
	Summary of grant and contract support
	Identify funding agency, grant periods, total amount funded
	Clearly identify your role, and the amount of funding to your program, if relevant
	Separate in-progress from completed or pending grants/contracts
	Awards and honors
	Complete list of scholarship products, separated by category:
	 Refereed
	 Journal articles (published, in press, under review, all separated)
	 Abstracts
	 Proceedings
	 Books and book chapters
	o Patents
	 Original scores
	o Exhibits
	o Performances
	o Works of art
	Non-refereed
	 Technical reports
	 Invited presentations at national/international conferences (refereed)
	 Posters and presentations (refereed)
	 Invited presentations (non-refereed)
	 Posters and presentations (non-refereed)
	 Other scholarship products not listed here (workshops, extension publications, etc.)
	Documentation of acceptance for in-press or accepted publications
Ц	Consultancies, professional leaves, international collaborations
Щ	Professional memberships
	Service
	• External service (professional service, grant review panels, editorial boards, service to state or
	community as relevant to scholarship)
	University service
	Campus service
	College service
	Departmental service
	Clinical service
_	Community service
Щ	Professional development activities
Ш	Other elements relevant to specific disciplines

 Statements (research statements may be required by college; other statements are optional) Research/scholarship statement Service statement Context statements as relevant (joint appointment, leadership role, community-engaged scholarship, clinical work, innovation & entrepreneurship, other)
3. Teaching Portfolio Goals Responsibilities
Percent appointment for teaching
Courses, credit hours, enrollments
Work with individual students
 Advising
 Instructional innovations
 Extraordinary efforts with special groups of students
Use of research in teaching
 Out-of-class evaluation/assessment activities
Instruction-related service
Learning about teaching
Project requiring non-state funding
Evaluations
Student evaluations
Measures of student learning
Peer evaluation
Comments from unsolicited student letters
Teaching awards
• Other
Results/Impacts
Student success
Instructional materials produced
Contributions to scholarship of teaching
 Other results, appendix, exhibits

Checklist for Dean's Assistants Promotion and Tenure Review Procedure and Statements

1. Administrative Recommendation Forms All forms are current forms from the Provost's Office website.
(All other forms will be returned.)
All fields are completed
Chair/director, dean and chancellor or VCAA*
Where applicable have all signed and dated
In cases where dean and VCAA disagree, include a separate administrative form from
VCAA
In case of secondary appointment, chair/director of second unit has been consulted
In cases of joint appointment, both chairs/directors have completed separate forms
Include numbers of faculty ballots for each category of recommendation
Include chair, dean, and VCAA recommendation
FOR PROMOTION ONLY: Dean has notified candidate in writing, within 10 working days of decision, about whether the case for promotion will be forwarded to Provost.
*Chancellor may designate someone to conduct the review. Using VCAA from here on out to refer to either chancellor or VCAA
2. Candidate's Curriculum Vitae CV included
3. Teaching Portfolio
All teaching portfolios for <u>health sciences colleges</u> may use special approved format and be up to 25
pages.
All other teaching portfolios should be 5 pp maximum.
4. Context Statements (e.g. research, service, joint appointments, COVID, other)
Each statement is limited to two pages (or a single unified statement with a limit of 6 pages)
If separate context statements are utilized, they must be saved as separate documents
5. Copies of Past Annual Progress Toward Tenure And Intensive Third-Year Reviews
FOR TENURE and/or PROMOTION TO ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR: All comprehensive/abridged/intensive
reviews from employment start date, including third-year review
FOR PROMOTION TO FULL RANK OR REGENTS PROFESSOR: All annual reviews since last promotion
6. External/Internal Review Letters
At least 4 letters are included
List of reviewers, their titles, and universities
Candidate's name suggestions are denoted with an asterisk Copy of the letter that is sent to respective reviewers

8. Faculty Recommendations (ballots) All ballots are signed All ballots include written rationale for recommendation Ballots are uploaded into separate Teams channel for faculty recommendations 9. College and Departmental/School Promotion and Tenure Guidelines

7. Supporting Materials

Checklist for Chairs and Directors: Promotion and Tenure Procedures and Statements

1. Procedural
Describe process used during unit review
Describe candidate's position (percentage or distribution of duties)
Describe candidate's tenure clock, if needed
Make sure all faculty ballots are signed, have checked a recommendation, and provide written rationale
for recommendation
2. For all categories that are relevant, evaluate candidate's contributions to:
Teaching
Refereed scholarship/juried exhibitions or creative products
Service and leadership roles
Community outreach/engagement
Extension programming
Clinical work
Innovation and entrepreneurship activities
Positive working environment
Other
3. Internal recommendations and external reviews
Summarize faculty recommendations
Summarize external review letters
Describe/explain discrepancies between positive and negative recommendations/reviews
Describe/explain discrepancies between positive and negative recommendations/reviews
4. Chair or director summary
Recommendation
Justification of recommendation
Included recommendation and comments of campus chancellor
included recommendation and comments of campos chancellor
5. Review your statement for common mistakes
Failure to reach a clear conclusion.
Failure to address one or more aspects of the job description.
Reaching a conclusion inconsistent with guidelines without explanation of the difference.
Reaching a conclusion inconsistent with past progress-towards-tenure or annual reviews without a recension the condidate's performance to justify the inconsistency.
change in the candidate's performance to justify the inconsistency.
Recommendation vs. the text of your statement support opposite conclusions.
Failure to address problems in the case. The problems will be noticed. Please address them and provide
an explanation for why the problems are or are not critical to the case.
Excessive repetition or quotations from other documents that are in the file.
Inaccurate counts of activities. Scholarship that is "in progress" does not count and
scholarship that is "in press" is counted only if appropriate documentation is provided.
Failure to account for the job description, particularly by applying the same standards for scholarship
and/or teaching to candidates who have very different percentage assignments to these activities